

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

pi

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,394	12/12/2003	Peter Euteneuer	LWEP:121US	1710
75	90 10/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Robert P. Simpson, Esq.			PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L	
Simpson & Simpson, PLLC 5555 Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Williamsville, NY 14221			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 10/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· 	,				
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/735,394	EUTENEUER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Joshua L. Pritchett	2872				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.	August 2005					
2a)⊠		is action is non-final.	•				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
-/	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	_						
8)[
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to Amendment after non-final rejection filed August 26, 2005. Claims 1, 4 and 9-11 have been amended as requested by the applicant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 and 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takahama (US 2002/0131165).

Regarding claim 1, Takahama discloses an inverted microscope having a U-shaped microscope housing (1) on one limb whereof is provided a horizontal changing surface (51; which has edges that move in the horizontal direction) for optomechanical adaptation of a module (Fig. 8), wherein the module (54 and 201) comprising a horizontally protruding base (Fig. 8) having on the one hand a binocular tube (64) placed thereon and on the other hand a

Application/Control Number: 10/735,394 Page 3

Art Unit: 2872

photo tube (201) with a photo device (202) placed thereon (Fig. 8) and wherein the binocular tube and the photo tube extend above the horizontal changing surface (Fig. 8).

Regarding claim 2, Takahama discloses the module is embodied as a one-piece combination module (Fig. 8) and has on its underside a module changing apparatus (53) that corresponds to the horizontal changing apparatus (Fig. 8). Takahama shows that the horizontal changing apparatus moves (from Fig. 7 to Fig. 8) such that the module changing apparatus must be inserted when the Takahama invention is in the state as shown in Fig. 8 but removed when the invention is in the state shown in Fig. 7.

Regarding claims 3 and 8, Takahama disclose the vertical optical axis (coming off deflector 55) of the observation beam bundle, extending in the one limb penetrates through a first optical deflection element (56) after entering the base unit (Fig. 8) then passes through first tube lens (63) arranged in the binocular tube, while the photo beam deflected at the optical deflection element (Fig. 8), after passage through a second tube lens (204) and after deflection by a second optical deflection element (206) enters the phototube with attached photo device (Fig. 8).

Regarding claims 4 and 9-11, Takahama discloses the optical deflection element can be selectably brought into or out of the working position (Figs. 7 and 8). Fig. 7 shows that there is no element 56, while Fig. 8 shows an element 56 to operate as the optical deflection element.

Regarding claims 5 and 12-18, Takahama discloses an infinity beam exists in the region of the changing surface of the module changing apparatus (Fig. 8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/735,394

Art Unit: 2872

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7 and 19-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takahama (US 2002/0131165).

Takahama teaches the invention as claimed but lacks reference to different corrections for the photo tube and the binocular tube. It is extremely well known in the art to use periplan correction for flat field eyepieces and HC correction for magnetic recording media. Official Notice is taken. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the Takahama invention include the corrections as known in the art for the purpose of producing a clear image to both the viewer and the photo device.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 26, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the mirror (51) is not a horizontal changing surface. The claim only requires that the horizontal changing surface be for optomechanical adaptation of the module. The mirror (51) provides for deflecting the light from a horizontal direction to another

direction depending on the angle of the mirror. The mirror is shown (Fig. 4) to pivot about an axis orthogonal to the beam path. The rotation of the mirror would require mechanical moving parts and the mirror itself is an optical element. Therefore the rotating mirror would provide optomechanical adaptation of the module.

The applicant also argues that the Takahama reference fails to show the binocular and photo tube extending above the horizontal changing surface. This new limitation is shown in Fig. 8 as stated in the rejection above.

Applicant's arguments, see Amendment, filed August 26, 2005, with respect to claims 4 and 9-11 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objection of claims 4 and 9-11 has been withdrawn. The applicant amended the claims to correct the error previously noted by the examiner.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Application/Control Number: 10/735,394

Art Unit: 2872

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L. Pritchett whose telephone number is 571-272-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A. Dunn can be reached on 571-272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TLP (M

THONG NGUYEN
PRIMARY EX-MINER
GROUP 2600

Page 6